

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JOSE GALLEGOS,	)	3:18-cv-00505-MMD-WGC
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b><u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u></b>
vs.	)	
	)	August 5, 2020
CHUCK ALLEN, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KAREN WALKER REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

**MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:**

Before the court is Plaintiff's "Motion Requesting 90 Day Extention (sic) of Time to Opposition to Summary Judgment Document" (ECF No. 76). Plaintiff states that "due to plaintiff being incarcerated pro-se litigant in the instant case along with the 'pandamic' (sic) plaintiff has not had a chance to complete discovery nor has this court responded to plaintiff's outstanding motions requesting more time to complete discovery." (*Id.*)

Pursuant to the court's Scheduling Order (ECF No. 25), the deadline to complete discovery was February 25, 2020. Although Plaintiff claims the court has not responded to Plaintiff's outstanding motions (plural) requesting more time to compete discovery, Plaintiff is mistaken. No motions for extension of time to complete discovery were received by the court, and certainly no such motions are "outstanding." Plaintiff's request for an extension of time to complete discovery is denied.

Defendant Allen filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on June 18, 2020. On July 21, 2020, after Plaintiff had failed to file any response to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, the court sua sponte granted Plaintiff an additional 30 days to file a response. (ECF No. 75.)

///

MINUTES OF THE COURT  
3:18-cv-505-MMD-WGC  
August 5, 2020  
Page 2